

### Attachment D - Submissions Template

# Public consultation: Review of the Criminal history registration standard and other work to improve public safety in health regulation

The Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (Ahpra) and the National Boards are inviting stakeholders to have their say as part of our review of the *Criminal history registration standard* (the criminal history standard). There are 19 specific questions we'd like you to consider below (with an additional question 20 most relevant for jurisdictional stakeholders.) All questions are optional, and you are welcome to respond to any you find relevant, or that you have a view on.

Your feedback will help us to understand what changes should be made to the criminal history standard and will provide information to improve our other work.

Please email your submission to AhpraConsultation@ahpra.gov.au

The submission deadline is close of business 14September 2023

# How do we use the information you provide?

The survey is voluntary. All survey information collected will be treated confidentially and anonymously. Data collected will only be used for the purposes described above.

We may publish data from this survey in all internal documentation and any published reports. When we do this, we ensure that any personal or identifiable information is removed.

We do not share your personal information associated with our surveys with any party outside of Ahpra except as required by law.

The information you provide will be handled in accordance with Ahpra's privacy policy.

If you have any questions, you can contact <a href="mailto:AhpraConsultation@ahpra.gov.au">AhpraConsultation@ahpra.gov.au</a> or telephone us on 1300 419 495.

# **Publication of submissions**

We publish submissions at our discretion. We generally <u>publish submissions on our website</u> to encourage discussion and inform the community and stakeholders about consultation responses. Please let us know if you do not want your submission published.

We will not place on our website, or make available to the public, submissions that contain offensive or defamatory comments or which are outside the scope of the subject of the consultation. Before publication, we may remove personally identifying information from submissions, including contact details.

We can accept submissions made in confidence. These submissions will not be published on the website or elsewhere. Submissions may be confidential because they include personal experiences or other sensitive information. A request for access to a confidential submission will be determined in accordance with the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (Cth), which has provisions designed to protect personal information and information given in confidence. Please let us know if you do not want us to publish your submission or if you want us to treat all or part of it as confidential.

Published submissions will include the names of the individuals and/or the organisations that made the submission unless confidentiality is expressly requested.

Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency
National Boards

GPO Box 9958 Me bourne VIC 3001 Ahpra.gov.au 1300 419 495

Initial questions
To help us better understand your situation and the context of your feedback please provide us with some details about you. These details will not be published in any summary of the collated feedback from this consultation.
Question A
Are you completing this submission on behalf of an organisation or as an individual?
Your answer:
⊠ Organisation
Name of organisation: Australian Association of Psychologists Inc
Contact email:
□ Myself
Name: Click or tap here to enter text.
Contact email: Click or tap here to enter text.
Question B
If you are completing this submission as an individual, are you:
☐ A registered health practitioner?
Profession: Click or tap here to enter text.
☐ A member of the public?
☐ Other: Click or tap here to enter text.
Question C
Would you like your submission to be published?
⊠ Yes, publish my submission <b>with</b> my name/organisation name
☐ Yes, publish my submission <b>without</b> my name
□ No – do not publish my submission

Focus Area One – The Criminal history registration standard
Question 1
The <i>Criminal history registration standard</i> (Attachment A) outlines the things decision-makers need to balance when deciding whether someone with a criminal history should be or stay registered such as the relevance of the offence to practice, the time elapsed and any positive actions taken by the individual since the offence or alleged offence. All decisions are aimed at ensuring only registered health practitioners who are safe and suitable people are registered to practise in the health profession.
Do you think the criminal history standard gets this balance right?
If you think the <i>Criminal history registration standard</i> does not get this balance right, what do you think should change to fix this?
Your answer:
Yes, the standard gets this balance right.
Question 2
Do you think the information in the current <i>Criminal history registration standard</i> is appropriate when
deciding if an applicant or registered health practitioner's criminal history is relevant to their practice? If not, what would you change?
Your answer:
Yes, the information is appropriate.
Question 3
Do you think the information in the current <i>Criminal history registration standard</i> is clear about how decisions on whether an applicant or registered health practitioner's criminal history is relevant to their practice are made? If you think it is not clear, what aspects need further explanation?
Your answer:
Yes, it is clear.

Question 4
Is there anything you think should be removed from the current <i>Criminal history registration standard?</i> If so, what do you think should be removed?
Your answer:
No, we see no need to remove any information.
Question 5
Is there anything you think is missing from the 10 factors outlined in the current <i>Criminal history registration standard?</i> If so, what do you think should be added?
Your answer:
We consider that more information could be provided about the impact of adequate psychological and psychiatric treatment on the risk to the public. This would be helpful to consider as part of the criminal history registration standard. This would likely not be relevant for the more severe offences or those involving vulnerable populations.
Question 6
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the Criminal history registration standard?
Your answer:
N/A

Focus area two – More information about decision-making about serious misconduct and/or an applicant or registered health practitioner's criminal
history
Question 7
Do you support Ahpra and National Boards publishing information to explain more about the factors in the <i>Criminal history registration standard</i> and how decision-makers might consider them when making decisions? Please refer to the example in <a href="Attachment B.">Attachment B.</a> If not, please explain why?
Your answer:
Yes, this is helpful for those considering their education and training pathway after having a criminal history and it makes it clear to the public what is taken into consideration.
Question 8
Is the information in <u>Attachment B</u> enough information about how decisions are made about practitioners or applicants with a criminal history? If not, what is missing?
Your answer:
This is sufficient.
Question 9
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the information set out in <u>Attachment B</u> ?
Your answer:
N/A

Question 10
Thinking about the examples of categories of offences in <u>Attachment C</u> , do you think this is a good way to approach decision-making about applicants and registered health practitioners with criminal history? If you think this is a good approach, please explain why. If you do not agree with this approach, please explain why not.
Your answer:
Yes, we agree with this approach.
Question 11
Do you think there are some offences that should stop anyone practising as a registered health practitioner, regardless of the circumstances of the offence, the time since the offence, and any remorse, rehabilitation, or other actions the individual has taken since the time of the offence? Please provide a brief explanation of your answer. If you answered yes, please explain what you think the offences are.
Your answer:
We consider offences contained in your classification of category A offenses, particularly where there is a pattern of behaviour and multiple offences to generally be incompatible with registration as a psychologist with the exception of offences that did not directly cause harm to another person such as drug trafficking offenses. Risk to the public due to a history of drug trafficking could potentially be mitigated. There would need to be a significant weight of evidence of treatment and committed action to consider those with offences in this category to be eligible for registration.
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the possible approach to categorising offences set out in <a href="Attachment C">Attachment C</a> ?
Your answer:
Category A should be focussed on harm to individuals and at-risk groups/violent offences.

made about serious misconduct by registered health practitioners
Question 13
Were you aware that disciplinary decisions by tribunals about registered practitioners were published to Ahpra and National Board websites and are linked to an individual practitioner's listing on the public register?
Your answer:
V
Yes
Question 14
Do you think decisions made to return a practitioner to practice after their registration has been
cancelled or suspended (reinstatement decisions) for serious misconduct should be published where
the law allows? Please explain your answer.
Your answer:
When there is a criminal offence or legal ruling associated with the suspension or cancellation it should be published. This means there is a balance of evidence that has been independently evaluated in a court of law.
Question 15
Question 15
Question 15  Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?  Your answer:  It is important to consider that reputational damage caused by vexatious complaints is significant. Information should only be shared when it is proven that a practitioner has behaved
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?  Your answer:  It is important to consider that reputational damage caused by vexatious complaints is significant. Information should only be shared when it is proven that a practitioner has behaved
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?  Your answer:  It is important to consider that reputational damage caused by vexatious complaints is significant. Information should only be shared when it is proven that a practitioner has behaved
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?  Your answer:  It is important to consider that reputational damage caused by vexatious complaints is significant. Information should only be shared when it is proven that a practitioner has behaved
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?  Your answer:  It is important to consider that reputational damage caused by vexatious complaints is significant. Information should only be shared when it is proven that a practitioner has behaved
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the approach to publishing information about registered health practitioners with a history of serious misconduct?  Your answer:  It is important to consider that reputational damage caused by vexatious complaints is significant. Information should only be shared when it is proven that a practitioner has behaved

Focus area four – Support for people who experience professional misconduct by a registered health practitioner

### Question 16

What do you think Ahpra and National Boards can do to support individuals involved in the regulatory process who are affected by sexual misconduct by a registered health practitioner? (For examples, see paragraph 47 of the consultation paper)

## Your answer:

We agree with paragraph 47 particularly providing a personal impact statement and think that providing access to psychological support with safe professionals is important when a client has been subject to sexual misconduct. The impact of this behaviour is often long lasting with impacts on the health and well-being of clients needing to be addressed.

#### Question 17

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about how we can support individuals affected by a registered health practitioner's professional misconduct?

#### Your answer:

There are many legal companies that specialise in supporting clients who have been subject to sexual offences and misconduct. Facilitating connection with these agencies for affected clients may be appropriate.

Focus area five – Related work under the blueprint for reform, including research about professional misconduct
Question 18
Are the areas of research outlined appropriate?
Your answer:
Yes, these are appropriate.
Question 19
Are there any other areas of research that could help inform the review? If so, what areas would you suggest?
Your answer
N/A
Additional question
This question is most relevant to jurisdictional stakeholders:
Question 20
Are there opportunities to improve how Ahpra and relevant bodies in each jurisdiction share data about criminal conduct to help strengthen public safety
Your answer:
N/A