

Dated: 02/10/2018

To: AHPRA Accreditation Committee
National Office: Melbourne

Subject: Feedback on draft revised medical radiation practice accreditation standards: MRP

Dear Simon,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the above consultation document.

This feedback from the Department of Medical Imaging & Radiation Sciences, Monash University, is in relation to Medical Radiation Practice.

Standard 2: Academic governance and quality assurance of the program

Criteria: 2.11: The wording of the associated criteria could have potential unintended consequences as currently there is an expectation that the education provider actively recruits staff with specialist knowledge, expertise and cultural capabilities to facilitate learning in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health.

Suggest the following amendment to Criteria 2.11

The education provider draws upon staff with the specialist knowledge, expertise and cultural capabilities to facilitate learning in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health.

Criteria 2.11: Expected information for inclusion with accreditation application/monitoring response

- Staffing profile of the program, which identifies staff Aboriginality
- Examples of targeted recruitment of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander Staff
- Examples of implementation of formal mechanisms for recruitment of staff including equal employment opportunity policy for employment of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander Peoples

Suggest the following amendments:

Only the first bullet point should be included with the following amended wording

- *Staffing profile of the program, which identifies staff with the specialist knowledge, expertise and cultural capabilities to facilitate learning in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health.*

Bullet points 2 and 3 should not be included as education providers for MRP programs will have difficulty in meeting these criteria which are too specific for a MRP program staff recruitment.

Standard 3: Program design, implementation and resourcing

Criteria 3: 9: Some of the content of the following wording needs to be amended as education providers are not the employers of work integrated supervisors and as such they are not involved in formal mechanisms for the selection or reviewing of WIL supervisors.

Suggest the following amendment to Criteria 3.9:

The education provider has an active relationship with the supervisors who provide instruction and supervision to students during work integrated learning.

Criteria 3: 9: Expected information for inclusion with accreditation application/monitoring response

Bullet point 2 should not be included as education providers are not the employers of work integrated supervisors.

Suggest the following amendment:

Only the first bullet point is applicable in providing expected information to meet this criteria.

- *Examples of engagement between the education provider and supervisors who provide instruction and supervision to students during work integrated learning*

Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions related to our feedback.

With kind regards

[Redacted]

Mrs Imelda Williams

Senior Lecturer/ Course Convenor: Bachelor of Radiography and Medical Imaging

Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Allied Health

School of Primary and Allied Health Care

Department of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences

Monash University

Level 1/128, Building 10 Chancellors Walk, Clayton Campus

Wellington Road

Clayton VIC 3800

Australia

[Redacted]

[Redacted]